ARTICLE APPEARED ON PAGE 3

THE DENVER CLARION (University of Denver) 18 October 1979

## Objection to CIA Interviews

To the Editor:

Some of your readers may be conscientious students dedicated to learning applicable theories for making the dream of a peaceful "global village" into a reality. Hopefully, upon graduation, these readers may get a chance of putting their theories into practice, be it in the fields of business or in the arts and sciences.

and sciences.

Yet, these same students have China (Rocky Mountain News, nothing to say when the adminiguration of this university permits a retired CIA agent in Maryland, the member of the CIA on campus to CIA's interest in Nazi behavioral interview for prospective emissions.

ployes.

Wouldn't you expect some reaction to the presence of a representative of an organization with a history of treachery and murder? Can people so soon forget the Popular Unity government of Chile or the CIA's arms-support of the corrupt Holden Roberto in Angola?

Perhaps examples closer to home will mean more to students unconcerned about the role of the U.S. in international affairs. "Evidence has come to light over the years that the CIA withheld evidence from the Warren Commission." In the Assassination Committee hearings of the last autumn it was denied by former CIA director Richard Helms that Oswald, was, in fact, a CIA agent Yet many theorize that this is the key to the assassination and that the CIA "brutally treated" a KGB defector who indicated otherwise (Denver Post, 10/22/78). Two members of the Warren Commission knew that the CIA withheld information (Allen Dulles and Richard Russell) but did nothing. Richard Helms, received a suspended sentence for his treachery for lying before a congressional committee to protect what he believed was national security."

Recent polls have shown that the numbers of Americans who doubt that Lee Harvey Oswald acted alone has risen.

In the past year information has been released through the freedom of information act that the CIA considered its spying on American political and civil rights figures as having the same priority as its intelligence—gathering on the Soviet Union and Communist. Chinax (Rocky Mountain News, 9/9/79). The recent murder of a retired CIA agent in Maryland, the CIA's interest in Nazi behavioral studies, the case of CIA spy satellites spying on American activists—the revelations continue of this deprayed and irresponsible use of our tax dollars.

The CIA, according to most reports is now muzzled and engaging primarily in legitimate, overt activities. Yet, is anyone expecting the agency to keep its fingers out of the pie if indigenous movements in other countries threaten to allow peoples to determine their own destinies? The fear of the monoith on the other side of the ocean is the justification Americans use for the "dirty business" of espionnage and counterinsurgency activity. To be sure, the Soviet Union has been as as notoriuos as the United States in its self-appointment as imperial policeman of the globe. Our questions is, if both superpowers ind it necessary to uphold their visions of the good life through terror, manipulation, and the shattering of political freedom in their own as well as other societies, isn't jis time we started finding ways to declaw these monsters (and the monsters they have engendered in the rest of the world)?

Noelle Everhart Kathy Stone John Everhart